

In the High Court of
Justice
Queens Bench Division

The City Assets Company
Limited

— v —
Hinds & Son

Copy
Defence
as finally settled.

Prior Church to Adams
at Lincoln's Inn Fields

Emmerson's
Sandwich

In the High Court of Justice
Queen's Bench Division

Between the City Assets Company
Limited Plaintiffs

and
Stephen Hinds + Edmund Herbert
~~Hinds + Herbert~~
Hinds sued + trading as Hinds & Son
Defendants

Defence

The Defendants say that

1. The work and labor + materials sued for was not nor was any part thereof done or provided for the Defendants firm nor (except is hereinafter admitted) for any member thereof

X We don't know how this sum is arrived at. We make the amt. £103-2-5 as stated in Dept's Hinds' afft.

Further as to £122. 10. 8 parcel of the Plaintiffs claim the Defts say that the same is in respect of work + labor + materials done + provided for the Dept Stephen Hinds a member of the s^d Firm in his separate capacity and the s^d Dept claims to set off against so much of the s^d claim a like sum due from the Pltfs alleged assignor (the s^d James

