

In the High Court of Justice 1891 C N^o 4086
 Queens Bench Division

Between The City Assets Company
 Limited . . . Plaintiffs
 _____ and _____
 Stephen Hinds and Herbert
 Hinds sued as Hinds & Son
 _____ Defendants

Reply

- 1 The Plaintiffs join issue on the Defence except so far as the same admits that the work and labour and materials were done and provided.
- 2 The Plaintiffs say that the Defendant Stephen Hinds is not entitled to set off against the claim of which the Plaintiffs are Assignees any part of the sum of £ 4184. 1. 9 the said Defendant having by a Memorandum in writing signed by the said Defendant and the said Trollope and dated November 25. 1890 agreed with the said Trollope that all work done by the said Trollope should be paid for notwithstanding the said debt of £ 4184. 1. 9.

Morton W. Smith

Delivered the 12th day of January 1892 by
 Lickerish and Bellord of 12 Queen Victoria Street
 in the City of London Solicitors for the Plaintiffs

In the High Court of Justice
Queens Bench Division

City Assets Comp^y
Limited.

Hinds & Son

copy Reply

Prior Church & Adams
61 Lincoln's Inn Fields