

Auction and Estate Offices,

S. HINDS & SON,

Walmer, 12th March 1892

Messrs Eumansons & Co
Sunderland

Dear Sirs

Re Throldone

Referring to your letter to hand, as to his occupancy of Grove House, we think the better course will be to adopt the suggestion of Messrs Partridge & Co, and bear half the cost with them in recovering possession of the premises, for it seems very evident, if we don't join them, that we shall have to bear all the cost ourselves, as

68
We shan't get him out without strong measures pursued. We would suggest that a short notice be given him to get out, which failing has attending to proceedings will be taken for recovery of possession. The sooner this is done the better, as we have a couple of applicants after the house.

Re City-Assech. Co. & Owners.

Referring to your letter of the 10th inst. - The cheques drawn in favor of Indolene were not for any balance due after debiting with interest, but in the

Carl

of three of the cheques it was for
Quint of N^o 3 Providence, due from
W. God. which we always paid
on his account, another cheque
was for an account of W. Emerson
from a Receipts, - and one
as marked was for cash lent.

The general rule was for
the balance to be due to us for
interest. not us to him -

Yours faithfully

Amos A. Phelps

P.S. We suppose there is no chance of getting the Rev. Mr.
to bear the whole cost of getting possession, as it
has been understood at some of the settlements that he
should do so. However if you think we had
better consent to give him, we would do so.